UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/565,975	01/26/2006	In-hwan Choi	285040US0PCT	9519	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, BINH X		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/12/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/565,9	975	CHOI, IN-HWAN		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		Binh X. 1	ran ran	1792		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	e correspondence ac	dress	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after t - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and	THIS COMMUNICATION CONTROL THE PROPERTY OF T	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a la) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from c				
	· Γhe specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer				
10) -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, the examiner is unable to find the proper support for the new limitation "Group IIIB" and "Group VIB". It is well known in chemistry that Group IIIB comprises element Sc, Y, La and Ac; and Group VIB comprises element Cr, Mo, W and Sg. The examiner clearly recognizes that applicants disclose to use the element Ga, In, which belong to Group IIIA; and to use element Se and Te, which belong to Group VIA. However, the applicants never disclose to use element in Group IIIB and Group VIB.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "forming a thin film of an I₂-VI compound on the thin film of the III-VI by Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition employing a precursor containing at least one metal of Group I", does not reasonably provide enablement for "thereby forming a compound of the element from Group I, III, and VI

Page 3

which is symbolized by the formula: I-III-VI₂". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Specifically, in claim 1, step (ii) the applicants recite the step of forming a thin film of an I₂-VI compound. However, in the same step (ii), applicants discloses the result is produced a compound of the formula I-III-VI₂. It is impossible to "form the thin film of an I₂-VI" in step (ii) then produce a compound having a formula "I-III-VI₂" in the same step (ii).

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because they directly or indirectly depend on claim 1.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 -8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the applicants disclose two different steps which produce a layer having a formula I-III-VI₂. First, in step (ii), applicants disclose "thereby forming a compound of the elements from Groups I, III, and VI which is symbolized by the formula: I-III-VI₂" (See 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection above). Second, in step (iii), the applicants again recite "forming a thin film of the I-III-VI₂". It is unclear that the compound I-III-VI₂ in step (ii) and the compound "I-III-VI2" in step (iii) are the same layer or not.

Art Unit: 1792

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the third step" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the third step" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 and 10 are indefinite because it is unclear what the symbol "x" represents in the formula and what the possible value or range for symbol "x".

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they directly or indirectly depend on indefinite claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 9 is allowed.
- 6. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Respect to the examiner's previous objection to the specification, the applicant's amendment along with the remark filed on 7-31-2008 is sufficient to over come the previous objection.

Respect to claims 1-8, the applicant's amendment filed on 7-31-2008 along with the remark raise new ground of rejections under 35 USC 112, 1^{st} paragraph and 2^{nd} paragraph as discussed above. First, the examiner is unable to find support by the new limitation "Group IB" and "Group VIB" in the specification. Second, it is impossible to "form the thin film of an I_2 -VI" in step (ii) then produce a compound having a formula "I-

Art Unit: 1792

III-VI₂" in the same step (ii) (Please see the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph above for further detail). Third, applicants amendment results in a new ground of rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph as discussed above.

Respect to claims 9-12, the applicant's amendment along with the remark filed on 7-31-2008 is sufficient to overcome the examiner previous ground of rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph

Respect to the rejection of claim 7 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, the applicants state "the value of the subscript ranges from zero to less than 1 so that subscript simply indicates the amount of added element in relation to the amount of In in a given product compound. This value can vary, and, in fact, changes in relationship to the amount of time in which the additional element is incorporated in the thin film product". This argument is not commensurate with the scope of claim 7. There is no limitation in claim 7 which recites the range for symbol "x". Thus, the examiner still maintains the previous ground of rejection with respect to claim 7.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,975 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

/Binh X Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792